

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/718,622	11/24/2003	Yasuo Aotsuka	0649-0925P	1289
2292 BIRCH STEW	7590 01/26/200 'ART KOLASCH & BI	•	EXAMINER	
PO BOX 747			LE, TUAN H	
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			2622	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		01/26/2007	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Notice of this Office communication was sent electronically on the above-indicated "Notification Date" and has a shortened statutory period for reply of 3 MONTHS from 01/26/2007.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/718,622	AOTSUKA, YASUO				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Tuan H. Le	2622				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO (36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a, cause the application to become ABANDONE.	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 N	lovember 2003.	•				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under be	Ex <i>parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		,				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/c	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>22 January 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Burea						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summar					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	. Section (pproduct)				

Art Unit: 2622

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 3, 5, and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hyodo et al (U.S. Pat. 6,952,225 B1).

Regarding **claims 1 and 5**, Hyodo et al discloses a solid-state imaging apparatus (see Hyodo et al, Fig. 1 and column 4 lines 17-19):

a solid-state imaging element (14) having a plurality of pixels for subdividing incident light from a photographic subject into a plurality of color signals (50 R, 50G, and 50 B) so as to image the photographic subject, the solid-state imaging element including two sorts of pixels (50 R and 50 G) whose spectral sensitivities are different from each other as said pixel for detecting at least one color among said plurality of color signals;

and signal processing means (30) for performing a white balance correcting operation based upon a gain amount (Rg, Gg, and Bg) corresponding to a sort of a light source with respect to photographed image data outputted from the solid-state image element, (see Hyodo et al, Fig. 2 and column 1 lines 45-60),said signal processing means including:

Art Unit: 2622

mixing ratio predicting means operated in such a manner that when there are plural sorts of light sources (shade, fluorescent lamp, and electric bulb) as to the incident light, a mixing ratio (evaluation values) of illumination light emitted from said plural sorts of light sources is predicted every pixel from photographed image data acquired by said pixels having two sorts of said spectral sensitivities, (see Hyodo et al, Fig. 3 and column 2 lines 47-64);

and gain amount calculating means for calculating a gain amount (Rg, Gb, and Bg) used to perform the white balance correcting operation every pixel in response to said mixing ratio, (see Hyodo et al, column 4 lines 54-60).

As for **claims 3 and 7**, as previously mentioned in the discussion of claims 1 and 5, Hyodo et al discloses all of the limitations of the parent claims.

Additionally, Hyodo et al discloses that said signal processing means further includes light source sort judging means for judging a sort of light source based upon said photographed image data (see Hyodo et al, Fig. 2).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2, 4, and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hyodo et al (U.S. Pat. 6,952,225 B1) and further in view of Suga (U.S. Pat. 5,198,890).

Art Unit: 2622

As for **claims 2 and 6**, as previously mentioned in the discussion of claims 1 and 5, Hyodo et al discloses all of the limitations of the parent claim.

However, Hyodo et al does not disclose means for multiplying a color difference signal obtained from said photographed image data by a color difference matrix so as to correct a color tone; and color difference matrix correcting means for correcting a coefficient of said color difference matrix in response to said mixing ratio.

1) for multiplying a color difference signal obtained from said photographed image data by a color difference matrix (307), (see Suga, Fig. 1) so as to correct a color tone; and color difference matrix correcting means (8), (see Suga, Fig. 1)

On the other hand, Suga discloses means (411 and 412), (see Suga, Fig.

for correcting a coefficient of said color difference matrix in response to said mixing ratio.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement the multiplying means and color difference matrix correcting means as described by Suga into the imaging apparatus described by Hyodo et al to obtain an imaging apparatus with correction of color tone by adjusting coefficients of color difference matrix because such implementation ensures that the white balance is maintained with high accuracy over a wide variety of types of light sources.

As for **claim 4**, as previously mentioned in the discussion of claim 2,

Hyodo et al and Suga disclose all of the limitations of the parent claim. In

addition, Hyodo et al discloses that said signal processing means further includes

Art Unit: 2622

light source sort judging means for judging a sort of light source based upon said photographed image data (see Hyodo et al, Fig. 2).

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

Suemoto et al (U.S. Pat. 5,099,313) discloses a method of determining if the light source is fluorescent and thus requiring a white balance correction.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tuan H. Le whose telephone number is (571) 270-1130. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 7:30-5:00 F 7:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David L. Ometz can be reached on (571) 272-7593. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2622

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Tuan Le

January 22, 2007.

TUAN HO
PRIMARY EXAMINER